

| from R3 Medium Der                    | nsity Residential to B6 Enter   | prise Corridor             |                        |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Proposal Title :                      | Liverpool LEP 2008 - Amendment No 35 - Rezoning part of the Tree Valley Golf Course from R3<br>Medium Density Residential to B6 Enterprise Corridor   |                            |                        |  |
| Proposal Summary :                    | The proposal seeks to amend Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 to rezone part of the<br>Tree Valley Golf Course on Camden Valley Way, Edmondson Park from R1 General<br>Residential, R3 Medium Density Residential and RE 2 Private Recreation to B6 Enterprise<br>Corridor and realign the boundaries of the R1 General Residential and RE2 Private Recreation<br>zoned land. |                            |                        |  |
| PP Number :                           | PP_2013_LPOOL_005_00  | Dop File No :              | 13/15377-1             |  |
| Proposal Details                      |   |                            |                        |  |
| Date Planning<br>Proposal Received :  | 11-Sep-2013   | LGA covered :              | Liverpool              |  |
| Region :                              | Sydney Region West  | RPA :                      | Liverpool City Council |  |
| State Electorate :                    | MACQUARIE FIELDS  | Section of the Act :       | 55 - Planning Proposal |  |
| LEP Type :                            | Spot Rezoning   |                            |                        |  |
| Location Details                      |   |                            |                        |  |
| Street : 216                          | 2 Camden Valley Way   |                            |                        |  |
| Suburb : Edr                          | nondson Park City :   | Liverpool                  | Postcode : 2174        |  |
| Land Parcel : Lot                     | 101 DP 117017   |                            |                        |  |
| DoP Planning Offic                    | cer Contact Details   |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                        | Amar Saini  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                      | 0298601130  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Email :                       | amar.saini@planning.nsw.gov.au  | I                          |                        |  |
| RPA Contact Detai                     | ls  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                        | Simon Porter  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                      | 0298219317  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Email :                       | S.Porter@liverpool.nsw.gov.au   |                            |                        |  |
| DoP Project Manag                     | ger Contact Details   |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                        | ChoCho Myint  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                      | 0298601167  |                            |                        |  |
| Contact Email :                       | chocho.myint@planning.nsw.gov   | .au                        |                        |  |
| Land Release Data                     |   |                            |                        |  |
| Growth Centre :                       | Sydney South West   | Release Area Name :        | Other                  |  |
| Regional / Sub<br>Regional Strategy : | Metro South West subregion  | Consistent with Strategy : | Yes                    |  |

| MDP Number :   | ***************************************   | Date of Release :  |                       |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Area of Release<br>(Ha) :  |   | Type of Release (eg<br>Residential /<br>Employment land) : |                       |  |
| No. of Lots :  | 0   | No. of Dwellings<br>(where relevant) :                     | 52                    |  |
| Gross Floor Area :   | 1,600.00  | No of Jobs Created :                                       | 359                   |  |
| The NSW Government<br>Lobbyists Code of<br>Conduct has been<br>complied with :   | Yes   |  |                       |  |
| If No, comment :   |   |  |                       |  |
| Have there been<br>meetings or<br>communications with<br>registered lobbyists? : | No  |  |                       |  |
| If Yes, comment :  | In relation to the Lobbyist Code of<br>Lobbyist Contact Register regardi  |  | s on the Department's |  |
| Supporting notes   |   |  |                       |  |
| Internal Supporting<br>Notes :   | BACKGROUND:   |  |                       |  |
|  | The site (5.14 hectares) is located on Camden Valley Way near the M5 and Hume Highway<br>intersection and is described as Lot 101 in DP 117017 (location map, attached).<br>Council has advised that the site is identified in the Edmondson Park Indicative Layout<br>Plan (ILP), attached, as a 'Village Centre' and would permit retail uses suitable for a main<br>road frontage. Council states that the planning proposal is consistent with the Edmondson<br>Park ILP and would facilitate redevelopment appropriate for the location and that the<br>planned Edmondson Park Town Centre will be complimented by the proposal. |  |                       |  |
|  |   |  |                       |  |
|  | The site is currently zoned a mix o<br>Residential, and RE2 Private Recro   | -  |                       |  |
|  | Council has also advised that the<br>Enterprise Corridor would provide<br>opportunity for retail development  | the desired mix of uses for th                             |                       |  |
|  | <ul> <li>The proposal also seeks to:</li> <li>retain application of the local retail floor space of any devel to 1,600sqm.</li> <li>amend the Minimum Density M required residential yield.</li> </ul>  | opment in the B6 Enterprise C                              | Corridor zone         |  |
|  | DELEGATION  |  |                       |  |
|  | Council is seeking an Authorisatio  | n to make the plan.  |                       |  |
| External Supporting  |   |  |                       |  |
| Notes :  | POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOS   | URE STATEMENT  |                       |  |
|  | Political donations disclosure laws<br>requires the public disclosure of d  |  | —                     |  |

the Planning system.

"The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.

The term relevant planning application means:

- A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Director-General to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument..."

Planning Circular PS 08-009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the Minister or Director General is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).

The Department has not received any disclosure statements for this Planning Proposal.

#### Adequacy Assessment

#### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Comment :

The objectives of the Planning Proposal are to facilitate:

• the creation of a 'local village', consistent with the Edmondson Park Indicative Layout Plan (ILP).

• a concept Masterplan (copy in Documents) which proposes a supermarket of 1,500m<sup>2</sup>, a service station and three fast food outlets/family restaurants (approximately 2,300m<sup>2</sup>).

• The Village Centre is proposed as part of a larger mixed use development, including 52 residential dwellings, childcare centre, golf course clubhouse, function centre/fitness centre and motel/serviced apartment.

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

The planning proposal will amend the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 to rezone and realign the subject site to B6 Enterprise Corridor, R1 General Residential, and RE2 Private Recreation (proposed zoning map, attached).

The planning proposal will amend the following maps:

- Zoning Map
- Floor Space Ratio Map
- Height of Building Map
- Minimum Dwelling Density Map

### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

| b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :          | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones                           |
|--|---|
| * Marcana al Mar Director O ana alla anno anno 4 | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport                      |
| * May need the Director General's agreement      | 5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek                   |
|  | 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements                      |
|  | 6.3 Site Specific Provisions                                |
|  | 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 |
|  |   |

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

| ,<br>,                                    | , , ,   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| d) Which SEPPs have the                   | PPA identified?   | SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land<br>SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development<br>SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004<br>SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008<br>SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007   |  |
| e) List any other<br>matters that need to | Following are the rel   | evant s117 Directions:  |  |
| be considered :                           | 1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES:                                      |   |  |
|   | Council has advised<br>Council. Council how<br>it will encourage emp    | s as the planning proposal proposes to create a business zone.<br>that the proposal is the result from an application lodged with<br>rever considers that the proposal is consistent with the Direction as<br>bloyment growth and facilitate the provision of a small amount of<br>Il not significantly impact on existing centres.                     |  |
|   |   | lanning proposal is not inconsistent with the direction and the proval is not required.   |  |
|   | 3.1 Residential Zones   | x.  |  |
|   | rezoning from R3 Hig<br>reduce the land area<br>residential density is  | as the draft proposal alters residential zones. However, the<br>h Density Residential to proposed part R1 General Residential will<br>(13,000sqm) but will not reduce the existing residential density. The<br>to increase from 17 dwellings/ha to 23 dwellings/ha. The proposal<br>isistent with the direction. The Director General's approval is not |  |
|   | 3.4 INTEGRATED LA   | ND USE AND TRANSPORT:   |  |
|   | The direction applies   | to the planning proposal.   |  |
|   |   | a planning proposal must include provisions that give effect to and<br>le aims, objectives and principle of:  |  |
|   | (DUAP 2001) and   |   |  |
|   | (b) The right Place for   | or Business and Services – Planning Policy (DUAP 2001).   |  |
|   | and services by walki<br>including the number<br>especially by car. Giv | locuments is the objective of improving access to housing, jobs<br>ing, cycling and public transport, and the reducing travel demand<br>of trips generated by development and the distance travelled,<br>en the site is well situated along two major roads, the proposal is<br>sistent with the direction.   |  |
|   | 7.1 IMPLEMENTATIO   | N OF THE METROPOLITAN STRATEGY:   |  |
|   | This issue has been o   | liscussed in detail in the Assessment section.  |  |
| Have inconsistencies with                 | items a), b) and d) being   | adequately justified? Yes   |  |
| If No, explain :                          |   |   |  |
| Mapping Provided - s5                     | i5(2)(d)  |   |  |
| Is mapping provided? Yes                  |   |   |  |
| Comment :                                 |   | II has provided a location map, an aerial photograph of the site,<br>and proposed land use zoning map, a minimum dwelling density   |  |

#### map and a proposed minimum dwelling density map.

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : The planning proposal states the requirements for community consultation will be stipulated by the Gateway determination.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

# Proposal Assessment

## Principal LEP:

Due Date :

| Comments in<br>relation to Principal | The Liverpool LEP 2008 is a Principal Standard Instrument LEP. |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| LEP :                                |  |

## **Assessment Criteria**

| Need for planning proposal : | Council has received an application on behalf of a land owner to amend the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 to rezone the site.   |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                              | The subject site is identified as 'Village Centre' under the Edmondson Park Indicative<br>Layout Plan. Council considers that the proposal is consistent with the Edmondson Park<br>Indicative Layout Plan (ILP) and would permit retail uses suitable for a main road frontage<br>which would complement the nearby planned Edmondson Park Town Centre. |  |  |

| Consistency with strategic planning        | DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRATEGY FOR SYDNEY 2031   |
|--|---|
| framework :                                | The proposed rezoning is likely to create 359 jobs.   |
|  | The site is not specifically identified in the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031.<br>However, the proposal is consistent with the following objective of the Strategy:  |
|  | Objective 15: Provide for a good supply of retail space   |
|  | This objective supports new centres and states that centres of all sizes will be the primary location for retail, at a scale reflecting the level of public transport accessibility. The draft strategy further makes comments on retailing on major roads as catalysts to revitalise high traffic areas, but this retail should not reduce road efficiency or increase congestion or road safety risks, and should contribute to the function of existing and planned centres.   |
|  | The Department (Strategies and Land Release Team), however, considers that the<br>planning proposal (a supermarket, a service station and fast food outlets) is not a 'Village<br>Centre' of equivalent scale identified in the Edmondson Park ILP. The ILP also envisaged<br>highway retail further along Camden Valley Way to the west, currently zoned B6 Enterprise<br>Corridor.  |
|  | The planning proposal, for 4,000 sqm retail (1,500 sqm supermarket and 2,500 sqm fastfood retail) in a proposed Enterprise Corridor Zone (out-of-centre location), to service 3,700 dwellings (over 10-20 years) within the primary trade area is not inconsistent with the 'Village Centre' as identified in the draft Metropolitan Strategy (see attached). However, it is not consistent with the 'Village Centre' as envisaged in the Edmondson Park ILP, which is of a smaller scale center. Edmondson Park was rezoned ahead of the growth centres, the land zoning is under Liverpool LEP 2008, not the SEPP (Growth Centres). It also predates the draft Metropolitan Strategy, therefore there is a conflict defining 'Village Centre' hierarchy in these documents. |
|  | DRAFT NORTH WEST SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY   |
|  | The planning proposal is not inconsistent with the draft Strategy, as it proposes retail uses within Enterprise Corridor Zone along a major transport corridor (Page 67 – SWB4.1.1 – copy in Documents).  |
|  | DRAFT CENTRES POLICY 2009   |
|  | The proposal is consistent with the objective of this Policy as it seeks to focus retail activity in a 'Village Centre' and will make efficient use of transport and other infrastructure.  |
|  | LIVERPOOL RETAIL CENTRES HIERARCHY REVIEW   |
|  | Council has advised that the proposal is consistent with the Liverpool Retail Centres<br>Hierarchy Review which identified an undersupply of retail floor space within the<br>catchment and considers that the proposal will facilitate to fill that gap.   |
|  | The site is not specifically identified as a centre in the review, however, was identified as part of the Edmondson Park Release area.  |
| Environmental social<br>economic impacts : | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS   |
|  | The planning proposal would not have any significant environmental effects. The site is an existing golf course with little potential for fauna and flora habitat.  |
|  | See the Strategies and Land release Team's comments below regarding issues relating to Biodiversity Certification.  |
|  | ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT   |
|  |   |

The application is accompanied by an Economic Impact Assessment (EIA), prepared by Location IQ (June 2013). The EIA examines the demand for retail use at the site and states that:

- there is a demand for additional supermarket floorspace throughout the main trade area;
- it would not have impact on the existing retail centres and their viability; and
- the proposal will not impact on the timing or development of the planned Edmondson Park Town Centre.

Council considers that given the small amount of retail proposed on the site it is unlikely to have significant impact on the surrounding retail centres and the proposal is consistent with the existing Edmondson Park ILP.

The EIA concludes that impacts on the surrounding retailers will be 7.5% or less and therefore, well within the normal competitive range. Edmondson Park Town Centre will provide a substantially large retail and non-retail services to area.

The EIA states that given the small size of the supermarket (1,500 sqm), it would serve mainly the immediate surrounding residential population and would not attract business from the broader region. The EIA (page 20) states that the planning proposal, is to service 3,700 dwellings (over 10-20 years) within the primary trade area, which equates to about 50% of the 6,000 dwellings proposed within the Edmondson Park Release area by 2021.

Council further considers that clause 7.23 of the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008, which restricts the development for the purposes of retail premises on land in zoned B6 Enterprise Corridor to 1,600 sqm, would limit any significant economic impact on nearby existing and planned centres.

It is also noted that EIA analysis considers that the Edmondson Park Centre will not be developed until 2021. This is, however, incorrect, as Urban Growth NSW has previously advised (for another planning proposal) that the first phase of Edmondson Park Town Centre will be developed by 2016.

It is noted that Table 3.3 Main Trade Area Supermarket Provision, 2013 (page 41) does not include ALDI, Camden Valley Way and Edmondson Park supermarkets located within the main trade area. The EIA is considered inconclusive and should be accurately revised/updated prior to public exhibition to ensure that a more complete picture of the potential economic impact of the proposal on the surrounding centres is provided.

#### CONSULTATION WITH STRATEGIES AND LAND RELEASE TEAM

The Strategies and Land Release Team was consulted and has advised as follows:

- the need for the planning proposal to demonstrate the consistency with the Edmondson Park conservation agreement under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, as well as the Growth Centres Biodiversity Certification and Strategic Assessment.
- the site is identified in the Edmondson Park Indicative Layout Plan (ILP) as 'Village Centre'. The Team considers that what is proposed under the planning proposal (a supermarket, a service station and fast food outlets) is not suitable for Village Centre. According to the Team the ILP envisages highway retail further along Camden Valley Way to the west (this land is already zoned B6).

TRAFFIC IMPACT

| •   | Amendment No 35 - F<br>sity Residential to B6   | Rezoning part of the Tree Valley<br>Enterprise Corridor  | / Golf Course              |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|
|   | Council has advised that the planning proposal included a traffic report, which argues that<br>there would be an acceptable impact on traffic generated from the proposed rezoning.<br>However, Council's Traffic Engineers have indicated that the impact could be much greater<br>and consultation with Roads and Maritime Services is necessary. |  |                            |
|   | This report recommen  | ds consultation with the Roads and N   | flaritime Services.        |
| Assessment Proce                                    | SS  |  |                            |
| Proposal type :                                     | Minor   | Community Consultation<br>Period :   | 28 Days                    |
| Timeframe to make<br>LEP :                          | 12 months   | Delegation :   | RPA                        |
| Public Authority<br>Consultation - 56(2)<br>(d) :   | Department of Trade and Investment<br>Transport for NSW<br>Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services  |  |                            |
| Is Public Hearing by th                             | e PAC required?   | No   |                            |
| (2)(a) Should the matte                             | er proceed ?  | Yes  |                            |
| If no, provide reasons :                            | :   |  |                            |
| Resubmission - s56(2)                               | (b) : <b>No</b>   |  |                            |
| If Yes, reasons :                                   |   |  |                            |
| Identify any additional                             | studies, if required. :   |  |                            |
| Other - provide details<br>If Other, provide reason |   |  |                            |
| conservation agreeme                                | ent under the Commonwe  | osal is to demonstrate the consistend<br>alth Environment Protection and Biod<br>y Certification and Strategic Assessn | liversity Conservation Act |
| Identify any internal cor                           | nsultations, if required :  |  |                            |
| No internal consultation                            | on required   |  |                            |
| Is the provision and fun                            | ding of state infrastructure  | relevant to this plan? No  |                            |
| If Yes, reasons :                                   |   |  |                            |
| ocuments  |   |  |                            |
| Document File Name                                  |   | DocumentType Na  | me Is Public               |
| Council Letter.pdf                                  |   | Proposal Covering  | g Letter Yes               |
| Planning Proposal.pdf                               |   | Proposal   | Yes                        |
| Economic Impact Ass                                 | essment .pdf  | Determination Doc  |                            |
| Location Map.pdf                                    |   | Map  | Yes<br>Yes                 |
| Concept Plan.pdf                                    |   | Мар  | 162                        |

| Location map.put                                     | map                    | 100 |
|--|------------------------|-----|
| Concept Plan.pdf                                     | Мар                    | Yes |
| Extract from Metrostategy.pdf                        | Determination Document | Yes |
| Extrct from Sub-regional Strategy.pdf                | Determination Document | Yes |
| Land Release Team comments.pdf                       | Determination Document | No  |
| Current & proposed zoning maps.pdf                   | Мар                    | Yes |
| Aerial_location_map.pdf                              | Мар                    | Yes |
| Extract_from_Draft_Metropolitan_Strategy_Centre_type | Proposal               | Yes |
| .pdf   |                        | ·   |

|                                | of_Edmonson_Park_Release_ar   | Мар   | Yes  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| ea.pdf<br>Surrounding_Zoning_m | ap.pdf  | Мар   | Yes  |
| nning Team Recom               | nendation   |   |  |
| Preparation of the planni      | ng proposal supported at this stage :   | Recommended with Conditio   | ns   |
| S.117 directions:              | 1.1 Business and Industrial Zone<br>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tran<br>5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badg<br>6.1 Approval and Referral Require<br>6.3 Site Specific Provisions<br>7.1 Implementation of the Metrop | nsport<br>erys Creek<br>ements                                      |  |
| Additional Information :       | Recommendation:   |   |  |
|                                | It is recommended that the plann conditions:  | ing proposal proceed subject  | to the following                                       |
|                                | 1. exhibited for 28 days;   |   |  |
|                                | 2. the timeframe for completing months from the week follow   |   |  |
|                                | 3. Council is to consult with Tra<br>Services and the Department  |   | laritime   |
|                                | 4. the proposal should not pro-<br>investigate and analyse the i<br>future centres, particularly P<br>Edmondson Park prior to put   | restons, ALDI, Camden Valley  | existing and<br>/ Way and                              |
|                                | 5. the planning proposal is to o<br>Edmondson Park conservation<br>Protection and Biodiversity C<br>Centres Biodiversity Certifica  | Conservation Act 1999, as we  | monwealth Environment<br>II as the Growth              |
| Supporting Reasons :           | The planning proposal is generall<br>Sydney 2013, the draft SW Subreg<br>proposes retail uses within an ide<br>located along a major transport co<br>infrastructure.  | jional Strategy, and the draft<br>entified village centre, to be zo | Centres Policy 2009 as it<br>oned Enterprise Corridor, |
|                                | The planning proposal will also p<br>for the local community.   | rovide business choice and e  | mployment opportunities                                |
| Signature:                     | Sinder  |   | c 5  |

• . . .